



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,475	02/01/2002	Edward Colles Nevill	1103179-0009	5943
7470	7590	07/02/2009		
WHITE & CASE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			EXAMINER COULTER, KENNETH R	
			ART UNIT 2454	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/02/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte EDWARD COLLES NEVILL

Reissue Application 10/066,475
Patent 6021265
Technology Center 2400

Mailed: July 2, 2009

Before Lynn M. Kryza, *Deputy Chief Trial Administrator*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL

This reissue application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 1, 2009. A review of the reissue application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the reissue application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

REISSUE AMENDMENT, SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION REQUIRED

The Amendment dated June 3, 2005 does not appear to have been accompanied by a supplemental reissue declaration. Whenever claims are amended or added, a supplemental reissue declaration is required pursuant to MPEP 1414.01. Form PTO/SB/51S, "Supplemental Declaration For Reissue Patent Application To Correct 'Errors' Statement ([see] 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(b)(1)),) may be used to prepare a supplemental reissue declaration. Form PTO/SB/51S serves to indicate that every error in the patent that was corrected in the reissue application, but was not covered by a prior reissue oath/declaration submitted in the reissue application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant.

It does not appear that the Examiner has included in an Office action the appropriate rejection of all the reissue claims under 35 U.S.C. 251, as being based upon a defective reissue declaration, and the requirement of a supplemental reissue declaration signed by the reissue applicant to overcome such rejection.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the reissue application is returned to the Examiner for:

1.) making the appropriate rejection of all the reissue claims under 35 U.S.C. § 251, as being based upon a defective reissue declaration;

- 2.) requiring submission of a proper supplemental reissue declaration to overcome the rejection of all the reissue claims under 35 U.S.C. § 251, as being based upon a defective reissue declaration; and,
- 3.) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

LMK/rvb

WHITE & CASE LLP
Patent Department
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036